

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,714	07/18/2003	Tomio Hirano	240464US6	1566	
22850 73	22850 7590 06/06/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1772			
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Bri f

Application N . 10/621,714		Applicant(s)	
		HIRANO ET AL.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Alicia Chevalier	1772	

before the timing of an Appear 211	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Alicia Chevalier	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	rresp ndenc add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FINST NEFET WAS F	ILEO WITTIIN			
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
<u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u> 2.	oliones with 27 CEP 41 27 must be	filed within two month	se of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com- filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecalise			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	тог. тог. арроса. зуа.сау то					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.	•			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	<b>)</b> :					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a North and sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>			nce because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
13.	ALICIA CHEVALIER					
PRIMARY EXAMINER						



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It remains the Examiner's position that the claim are unpatentable for reasons previously of record in the final office action.